Transportation Safety Considerations in Planning Process

Reza Jafari, PhD, PE NCDOT – Planning Branch



NCAMPO 2016 Conference – Thursday, May 12, 2016

Transportation Safety Planning

Reducing fatalities and serious injuries by supporting comprehensive, systemwide, multimodal, and proactive process that...

Integrates safety into surface transportation decision-making



Integrating Safety

- Multidisciplinary
- Multimodal
- System-wide
- Collaborative
- Proactive

Performance-Based Transportation Planning Process







Collect and analyze safety dataUse data to find sites for safety treatments

Use safety policies from other planning documents as a guide for LRTP/MTP

Include safety-related scoring in LRTP/MTP to establish safety as a factor in project selection





Safety Planning at Federal Level

- Guide progress of performance-based planning through education and training
- Proactively *promote, monitor, educate* and *communicate* ways for State DOTs and planning organizations to integrate safety in planning

Safety Planning at State Level

- Performance-based planning requires greater coordination across agencies and between functional areas (i.e., safety, congestion, etc.)
- Use crash and GIS data to identify safety concerns and assist improving safety strategies
- Work with enforcement at corridor-level
- Integrate safety goals, objectives, and strategies in transportation planning process

Safety Planning at MPO Level

- Help educate local elected officials and public.
- Demonstrate the economic impact of improving transportation safety
- Identify low-cost safety improvements (start small)
- Work with private/public entities for funding
- Pursue the 4E approach to improve safety

Safety Planning at RPO Level

- Participate with MPOs; integrate rural safety concerns into the planning process
- Align with State Plans to capture state funding for safety
- Educate local elected officials and public
- Demonstrate economic impact of safety improvements
- Leverage local funds
- Identify low-cost safety improvements
- Improve availability and accuracy of safety data

Safety Planning for Elected & Appointed Officials

- Laws passed by legislatures impact safety significantly (i.e., helmet laws)
- Judicial decisions in traffic safety cases
- Encourage local planners to prioritize for safety
- Set-aside funding to advance safety projects
- Promote the importance of safety

Safety Implementation

- Work closely together to identify safety integration opportunities
- Leverage planning process to impact safety
- Engage transportation planners & committees
- Build consistency between long range plans and safety plans
- Use data and analysis to benchmark and measure safety issues

- Traffic Engineering Accident Analysis System (TEAAS)
 - -Intersection Analysis
 - -Section Analysis
 - -City/County Reports



					Miscellaneo	ous Statistics			
TEAA5 - Reports - Intersection	Count	y: MECKL 12/01	ENBURG	High Level Cras	Severity Index = EPDO Crash Index =			7.24 173.80	
dit Help	Locati	on: SR 34	68 (Weddingto	Crash Type	Estimated Property Damage To	tal = \$		127600.00	
				Total Crashes					
Study Information Road Identific				Non-Fatal Injury Crashes	Accident Ty				
Generate Fiche	Acc			Total Injury Crashes		Norm	h 6	Descent	
Road 1 (Fiche Road)	No	Crash ID	Date	Property Damage Only Crashes	Accident Type	Num	ber of	Percent	
Table Input	1	102764787	12/21/2009 14:03	Night Crashes	AND D	Cra	snes	or rotar	
	Unit	1:4	Alchl/Drgs:	Alcohol/Drugs Involvement Crash	ANGLE	1	0	41.67	
First Calls	Unit	2:1	Alchl/Drgs:		HEAD ON	:	1	4.17	
40003468 SR 3	2	102822715	03/11/2010	Crash Severity	LEFT TURN, DIFFERENT ROADWAY	5	2	8.33	
40001344 SR 1			15:38		LEFT TURN, SAME ROADWAY		5	20.83	
50010499 FINO	Unit	1:1	Alchi/Drgs: Alchi/Drgs:	Crash Type	PEDALCYCLIST	:	1	4.17	
Intersection Road Com				Fatal Crashes	RAN OFF ROAD - LEFT	:	1	4.17	
Lockup Validat	3	102986283	10/08/2010 13:34	Class & Crashes	PAN OFF POAD - PIGHT		1	4 17	
Table Input	Unit	1:1	Alchl/Drgs:	Class B Crashes	DEAD END GLON OD CTOD		-	10.50	
	Unit	2:2	Alchl/Drgs:	Property Damage Only Crashes	REAR END, SLOW OR STOP		3	12.50	
SR 3468	4	102998088	10/22/2010						
WEDDINGTON			12:03	Vehicle	L				
	Unit	1:4	Alchi/Drgs:	Annual AD					
				Total Vehicle Exposur		Number of	Percer	nt	
	5	103077679	01/14/2011 18:04		Injury Type	Injuries	of Tot	al	
14 4 10	Unit	1:2	Alchl/Drgs:	Crach Pate	Fatal Injuries	1	5.88		
	Unit	2:4	Alchl/Drgs:	Total Crash Rate	Class A Injuries	0	0.00		
	6	103081011	01/20/2011	Fatal Crash Rate	Class B Injuries	6	35.29		
			18:04	Non Fatal Crash Rate	Class C Injuries	10	58.82		
	Unit Unit	1:3 2·4	Alchl/Drgs: Alchl/Drgs:	Night Crash Rate	Total Non-Fatal Injuries	16	94.12		
				EPDO Rate	Total Injuries	17	100.00		

						-		
STEAAS - Report - County-Wide Accident	Month, Day and Hourly Summary in Wake County for the period 1/1/2013 through 12/31/2014							
Edit Help	1					-		
	Monthly Summary							
General Information		Number of	Cumulative	Cumulative	-			
Report Type	Month	Crashes Perc	ent Crashes	Percent	-			
Month, Day and Hourly Summary	Feb	0,012 0		0.4				
Accident Types and Violations	Mar							
Age and Say of Driver	Apr Max	Alco	ohol. An	nbulan	ce and Vision in Wa	ke Coun	tv for t	he period 1/1/2013
Age and Sex of Driver	Jun	through 12/31/2014						•
Alcohol, Ambulance and Vision	Jul				through 12/	51/2014		
Environmental Conditions	Aug Sep							
High Accident Intersections	Oct	Alcoh	l Involv	mont				
Injuries and Restraint Usage	Bov	AICOID						
Month, Day and Hourly Summary	Dec					Number of		
Severity at High Accident Intersections	Day of the Week Sur	Day of the Week Sur				Drivers	Percent	
Report specific	Day of the week Sul					117,816	92.9	
Reference	Day of the West	YES - AL	COHOL, IMPAI	RMENT SUSP	PECTED	2,098	1.7	
Begin Date End Date Years	Mon	YES - AL	COHOL, NO IM	PAIRMENT D	ETECTED	246	0.2	
	Tue	YES - OTH	HER DRUGS, I	MPAIRMENT	SUSPECTED	226	0.2	
	Wed Thu	YES - OTH	HER DRUGS, N	O IMPAIRME	INT DETECTED	41	0.0	
	Fri	YES - AL	COHOL AND OT	HER DRUGS,	IMPAIRMENT SUSPECTED	152	0.1	
Y-Line Feet Minimum Accidents	Sat	YES - AL	COHOL AND OT	HER DRUGS,	NO IMPAIRMENT DETECTED	19	0.0	
150 💌	Sun	UNKNOWN				6,193	4.9	
	Hour Summary							
	nour summary				_			
	Hone Sman	Number of Crashes Pere	Cumulative Crasher	Cumulative				
Generate Report	0000-0059	984 1	.3 984	1.3	-			
	0100-0159	722 1	.0 1,706	2.3				
	0200-0259	745 1	.0 2,451	3.3				
	0400-0459	496 0	3,670	5.0				
	0500-0559	540 0	7 4 210	5.7		1		





Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)

	Po	Nort otentially Hazar	h Carolina Highway Safety In dous Intersection Locations in North Ca 2014 Cycle	nprovement Prog rolina - Statewide Ran	gram k of 400 or Higher
PH Number State Total Rank Weight	Divison SHP Troop	Region	Location	Overall Crashes Severity Index	
91100959 1 7.89 Excluded: Comm	5 C ments:	CAPITAL	WAKE (RALEIGH) SR 2026 (MP 0.85) AT SR 2684 (MP 0.75)	59 6.23	WARRANT INFORMATION Warrant Crashes Percent Severity Weight I-1 24 40.70% 12.33 2.24 I-2 21 35.60% 10.33 3.90 I-3 35 59.30% 6.23 1.75
59101780 2 5.79 Excluded: Comm	10 H ments:	METROLINA	MECKLENBURG (CHARLOTTE) SR 3998 (MP 4.73) AT GROVE	69 8.05	WARRANT INFORMATION Warrant Crashes Percent Severity Weight I-1 51 73.90% 9.81 3.79 I-3 31 44.90% 8.05 2.00
78100087 3 5.32 Excluded: Comm	7 D menta:	TRIAD	ROCKINGHAM (EDEN) US 311 (MP 25.53) AT NC 14 (MP 12.51) * POSSIBLE LOOP *	59 5.95	WARRANT INFORMATION Warrant Crashes Percent Sevenity Weight I-1 22 37.30% 10.92 1.82 I-2 21 35.60% 9.28 3.50
82100039 4 5.3 Excluded: Comm	8 H menta:	CAPITAL	SCOTLAND (RURAL) US 15 (MP 11.74) AT NC 144 (MP 5.83)	60 7.97	WARRANT INFORMATION Warrant Crashes Percent Sevenity Weight I-1 48 80.00% 8.78 3.20 I-3 33 55.00% 7.97 2.10
91101548 5 5.27 Excluded: Comm	5 C ments:	CAPITAL	WAKE (RALEIGH) SR 2542 (MP 4.67) AT SR 2607 (MP 4.30)	46 5.9	WARRANT INFORMATION Warrant Crashes Percent Severity Weight I-2 18 39.10% 11.48 3.71 I-4 18 39.10% 7.27 1.56
59101411 6 4.79 Excluded: Comm	10 H menta:	METROLINA	MECKLENBURG (CHARLOTTE) US 29 (MP 15.55) AT ARROWHEAD	34 9.81	WARRANT INFORMATION Warrant Crashes Percent Sevenity Weight I-1 17 50.00% 14.27 1.84 I-3 15 44.10% 9.81 1.18 I-4 13 38.20% 11.38 1.77
89100181 7 4.64 Excluded: Comm	10 H ments:	METROLINA	UNION (MONROE) SR 2188 (MP 0.68) AT WINDSOR	28 10.4	WARRANT INFORMATION Warrant Crashes Percent Severity Weight I-1 19 67.90% 9.27 1.34 I-2 10 35.70% 18.38 3.30
11100093 8 4.47 Excluded: Comm	13 F ments:	BLUE RIDGE	BURKE (MORGANTON) US 70 (MP 9.09) AT SR 1142 (MP 7.70)	35 7.45	WARRANT INFORMATION Warrant Crashes Percent Severity Weight I-1 16 46.70% 9.44 1.14 I-2 14 40.00% 8.53 2.14 I-3 20 57.10% 7.45 1.19

Safety Resources High Collision Sites Data





Case Studies



Case Studies

- Document number and type of collisions occurring at high collision sites
- Provide potential preliminary safety countermeasures for identified collision types
- High collision sites were obtained from
 - Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)
 - Traffic Engineering Accident Analysis
 System (TEAAS)

Case Studies

- Total number of Collisions in a five year period
 - Greenville: 2009-2013
 - Elizabeth City: 2010-2014
- No collision diagrams to identify the actual location and number of specific collision types
- Greenville, 68 sites
- Elizabeth City, 27 sites

Questions?



Reza Jafari, PhD, PE NCDOT – Planning Branch <u>rrjafari@ncdot.gov</u> 919–604–0808